

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application. Claims 1-2, 4-33, and 36 have been canceled and new claims 38-62 are pending. Applicant's amendments and remarks after Final are appropriate under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 because they address the Office's remarks in the Final Action, and thus could not have been presented earlier.

35 U.S.C. §103 Claim Rejections

1st §103 rejection Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-11, 22-28, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over U.S. Patent No. 6,097,497 to McGraw (hereinafter, "McGraw") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,375,298 to Purcell et al. (hereinafter "Purcell") (*Office Action* p.2, ¶2). Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-11, 22-28, and 30-32 are canceled and Applicant requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

2nd §103 rejection Claims 12-14, 16-19, 21, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over McGraw in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,577,907 to Czyszczewski et al. (hereinafter, "Czyszczewski") (*Office Action* p.10, ¶19). Claims 12-14, 16-19, 21, and 29 are canceled and Applicant requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

3rd §103 rejection Claims 9 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over McGraw in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,925,889 to Guillory et al. (hereinafter, "Guillory") (*Office Action* p.14, ¶29). Claims 9 and 33 are canceled and Applicant requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

4th §103 rejection Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over McGraw in view of Czyszczewski and further in view of Purcell (*Office Action* p.15, ¶31). Claim 15 is canceled and Applicant requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

5th 103 rejection Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for obviousness over McGraw in view of Czyszczewski and further in view of Guillory (*Office Action* p.16, ¶133). Claim 20 is canceled and Applicant requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

5

New Claims

New claims 38-62 are presented for examination. Applicant believes that claims 38-62 are allowable over the references of record, both individually and in combination, and that claims 38-62 are in condition for allowance.

10 Independent claims 38 and 51 have already been identified by the Office as including a proposed limitation that would require further search and consideration (*Advisory Action* dated February 17, 2004).

Claim 38 recites “receiving a component of a printing device, the component including a memory component that maintains printing-related data”, “retrieving the printing-related data from the memory component”, and
15 “utilizing the printing-related data for printing analysis.”

Claim 51 recites “receiving a replaceable printing component having print media usage data maintained therewith, the print media usage data collected during one or more printing operations”, and “retrieving the print
20 media usage data from the replaceable printing component.”


Applicant agrees with the Office that “receiving a component of a printing device” (claim 38) and “receiving a replaceable printing component” (claim 51) are not shown or disclosed in the references of record, and that a
25 further search is required (*Advisory Action* dated February 17, 2004).

Conclusion

Pending claims 38-62 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and issuance of the subject application. If any issues remain that preclude issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned attorney before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: June 3, 2004

By: 
David A. Morasch
Reg. No. 42,905
(509) 324-9256 x 210

10